

UNITÉ DE RECHERCHE SUR LE TABAC DE L'ONTARIO



Last Updated: January 21, 2010

TOBACCO CONTROL FUNDING COMMITMENTS: MONITORING UPDATE

What Is the Issue?

Substantial government funding is necessary if tobacco control strategies are to be effective in reducing tobacco-related illness and death. The U.S. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) (2007) recommends sustained tobacco control funding on a state-by-state basis, e.g., for community interventions, health communication, cessation and evaluation. The U.S. Institute of Medicine (IOM) (2007) recommends \$15 U.S. to \$20 U.S. per capita as a funding target for each U.S. state. It also recommends the use of tobacco tax revenues to fund tobacco control programs, federal funding of media campaigns, stable funding for quit lines, funding of research and a national funding plan if state funding does not increase. The WHO Framework Convention on Tobacco Control (2005, Article 26, pp. 23-24) encourages meaningful funding of tobacco control strategies. Cuts to tobacco control funding tend to result later in increased healthcare costs and productivity losses (Lightwood et al. 2008; OTRU 2008).

Ontario

In 2008-2009, Ontario's total funding commitment for tobacco control under the Smoke-Free Ontario Strategy was \$53.2 million (Table FC.1), compared to about \$60 million in both 2006-2007 and 2007-2008. There is no Canadian equivalent for the U.S. IOM (2007) per capita targets (\$15 U.S. to \$20 U.S.). However, at \$4.12, Ontario's per capita funding commitment is below IOM target levels and only \$1.07 higher than the average per capita commitment of other provinces and territories. Most of the 2008-2009 Ontario tobacco control funding commitment went towards enforcement, cessation, public education, prevention, youth engagement and tobacco control coordination. The remainder was for monitoring, research, evaluation, provincial support programs, program administration and aboriginal programs. The Ontario amounts omit dollars used for tobacco control that are not disaggregated at source, such as funding to the public health system for chronic disease prevention under the Ontario Public Health Standards or to physicians for cessation-related services under the Ontario Health Insurance Program. In 2008-2009, Ontario tobacco tax revenues were \$1,041 million.

International Jurisdictions

Ordered by percentage of CDC recommendation achieved, the five U.S. states with the highest tobacco control funding in 2009 were (in U.S. dollars): Alaska (\$9.2 million or 86% of CDC recommendation), Delaware (\$11.3 million or 81% of CDC recommendation), Wyoming (\$6.9 million or 77% of CDC recommendation), Hawaii (\$11.3 million or 74% of CDC recommendation) and Montana (\$9.3 million or 70% of CDC recommendation). In a 2004 New South Wales (Australia) survey, respondents supported tobacco control budgets much higher than current levels of government expenditure (\$0.73 per capita at the time) (Walsh et al. 2008).

¹ http://www.fin.gov.on.ca/english/budget/ontariobudgets/2009/papers_all.pdf [p. 96, Table 24]

² http://tobaccofreekids.org/reports/settlements/2009/staterankings.pdf

Table FC.1: Tobacco Control Funding Commitments, by Canadian Federal, Provincial and Territorial Governments, Fiscal 2008-2009

Jurisdiction*	2008-2009 Funding (CDN\$)	Population	Per Capita Funding (CDN\$)
FEDERAL [†]	\$65,900,000	33,311,400	\$1.98
Northwest Territories [‡]	\$339,182	43,300	\$7.83
Yukon	\$255,000	33,100	\$7.70
Québec⁵	\$32,650,290	7,750,500	\$4.21
Ontario	\$53,200,000	12,929,000	\$4.12
Nova Scotia	\$2,400,000	938,300	\$2.56
Alberta	\$9,100,000	3,585,100	\$2.54
Nunavut ¹	\$67,500	31,400	\$2.15
Newfoundland/Labrador	\$742,000	507,900	\$1.46
British Columbia [#]	\$5,420,000	4,381,600	\$1.24
Manitoba	\$580,000	1,208,000	\$0.48
Saskatchewan	\$300,000	1,016,000	\$0.30
Prince Edward Island	Not Available	139,800	Not Available
New Brunswick	Not Available	747,300	Not Available
Average Provincial/Territorial Per Capita Funding, excluding Ontario			\$3.05
IOM Recommended Per Capita Funding, 2007 (\$U.S.)			\$15.00-\$20.00

^{*}Provinces and territories are ordered by per capita funding amount

§Québec's tobacco control budget includes reimbursement for nicotine replacement therapy under the provincial drug insurance program when prescribed by a physician: from Jan 1 2008 to Dec 31 2008, Québec spent \$12,650,290 on nicotine replacement therapy; not included in the total for Québec is an additional investment of \$3,000,000 in cessation counselling for which physicians are reimbursed

Sources: Amounts quoted are approximations based on levels committed through political and budgetary announcements and personal communications from health and finance departments. Population figures are from Statistics Canada, post-census estimates, July 1, 2008

[http://www40.statcan.ca/l01/cst01/demo02a.htm?sdi=population%20canadian]

Comments and suggestions are welcome and can be sent to lise_anglin@camh.net

References

Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC). Best Practices for Comprehensive Tobacco Control Programs—2007. Atlanta: U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, National Center for Chronic Disease Prevention and Health Promotion, Office on Smoking and Health, October 2007.

 $[http://www.cdc.gov/tobacco/tobacco_control_programs/stateand community/best_practices/pdfs/2007/BestPractices_Complete.pdf] \\$

Institute of Medicine. Ending the Tobacco Problem: A Blueprint for the Nation. National Academy Press, Washington DC, 2007. [Executive summary http://www.nap.edu/catalog/11795.html]

Lightwood JM, Dinno A, Glantz SA. Effect of the California tobacco control program on personal health care expenditures. PLoS Medicine 2008;5(8):1214-1222. [http://www.plosmedicine.org/article/info%3Adoi%2F10.1371%2Fjournal.pmed.0050178]

Ontario Tobacco Research Unit. OTRU Update: Effects of Funding Cuts to Tobacco Control Programs. Toronto ON: Ontario Tobacco Research Unit, 2008. [http://www.otru.org/pdf/updates/update_dec2008.pdf]

Walsh RA, Paul CL, Tzelepis F, Stojanovski E, Tang A. Is government action out-of-step with public opinion on tobacco control? Results of a New South Wales population survey. *Australian and New Zealand Journal of Public Health* 2008;32(5):482-488.

World Health Organization. WHO Framework Convention on Tobacco Control. Geneva, Switzerland: World Health Organization, 2005. [http://www.who.int/tobacco/framework/WHO_FCTC_english.pdf]

[†]The federal amount reflects Health Canada's planned spending for 2008-2009 under the Federal Tobacco Control Strategy [http://www.tbs-sct.gc.ca/rpp/0708/hlth-sant/hlth-sant03-eng.asp#2_3_3_2]

[‡]The estimate for Northwest Territories includes amounts spent on tobacco control programming, including one full-time salary

 $^{^{\}parallel} \! \text{The amount for Alberta}$ is the funding given to the AADAC Tobacco Reduction Strategy

⁹The Nunavut amount is for a salary

^{*}The amount for British Columbia does not include litigation costs